

# Uniqueness for the Three-Dimensional Time Dependent Drift Diffusion Semiconductor Equations With $L^2$ Initial Data

metadata, citation and similar papers at [core.ac.uk](http://core.ac.uk)

*Basic Courses Division, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037,  
People's Republic of China*

*Submitted by Avner Freidman*

Received October 8, 1997

This paper proves the uniqueness of solutions to the time dependent drift diffusion semiconductor equations in three dimensions with  $L^2$  initial data. This answers the open problem raised by da Veiga. © 1999 Academic Press

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we prove the uniqueness of weak solutions to the semiconductor equations

$$-\Delta\psi = p - n + f, \quad (1.1)$$

$$n_t - \nabla \cdot (D_1 \nabla n - \mu_1 n \nabla \psi) = r(n, p)(1 - np), \quad (1.2)$$

$$p_t - \nabla \cdot (D_2 \nabla p + \mu_2 p \nabla \psi) = r(n, p)(1 - np), \quad (1.3)$$

in a bounded domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^3$  with mixed Dirichlet–Neumann boundary conditions

$$(\psi, n, p)|_{\Gamma_D} = (\psi_D, n_D, p_D), \quad \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta}, \frac{\partial n}{\partial \eta}, \frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta} \right) \Big|_{\Gamma_N} = (0, 0, 0) \quad (1.4)$$

and initial conditions

$$(n, p)|_{t=0} = (n_0, p_0) \in L^2_+(\Omega) \times L^2_+(\Omega). \quad (1.5)$$

The unknowns  $\psi$ ,  $n$ , and  $p$  denote the electrostatic potential, the free electron carrier concentration, and the free hole concentration, respectively.  $f = f(x) \in RL^\infty(\Omega)$  represents the impurity density, and  $D_i$  and  $\mu_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are positive constants denoting the diffusion coefficients and the mobilities, respectively, and for simplicity we assume  $D_i = \mu_i = 1$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ).  $R(n, p) = r(n, p)(1 - np)$  is the net recombination rate, such as the right-hand side term where  $r(n, p)$  satisfies  $0 \leq r(n, p) \leq r_1 < +\infty$  and

$$|R(n, p) - R(\tilde{n}, \tilde{p})| \leq C(1 + |n| + |\tilde{n}| + |p| + |\tilde{p}|)^\beta (|n - \tilde{n}| + |p - \tilde{p}|) \quad (1.6)$$

for  $\beta \leq 4/3$  with positive constants  $r_1$  and  $C$ . The bounded domain  $\Omega$  denotes the semiconductor device whose boundary  $\partial\Omega$  usually satisfies

$$\partial\Omega = \Gamma_D \cup \Gamma_N, \quad \Gamma_D \cap \Gamma_N = \emptyset, \quad \text{mes}(\Gamma_D) > 0, \quad \partial\Omega \in C^{0,1} \quad (1.7)$$

and  $\eta$  is the unit outward normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$ .

$\psi_D, n_D, p_D \in H^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $n_D, p_D \geq 0$ , a.e. in  $\Omega$  and they are usually assumed independent of time  $t$ .

da Veiga [1] proved the global existence of weak solutions to (1.1)–(1.7) for  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbf{R}^m$ . He also obtained the uniqueness of weak solutions under the condition that  $m \leq 4$  and if  $u$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= g, \\ u|_{\Gamma_D} &= u_D, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} \Big|_{\Gamma_N} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

then

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,m}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|u_D\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}), \quad (1.9)$$

where  $m = 3$  and  $4$ , and  $m$  is replaced by  $m + \epsilon$  for some positive constants  $\epsilon$  when  $m = 2$ . Equation (1.9) is true for  $m = 2$ , but when  $m > 4$ , (1.9) is completely invalid (as remarked in [1]), and when  $m = 3$ , the condition (1.9) imposes a restriction on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . For  $m \geq 3$ , the uniqueness without the condition (1.9) is still open. In the present paper we will prove the uniqueness of weak solutions for  $m = 3$  without the use of (1.9). Of course, the crucial step of our proofs consists of finding appropriate a priori estimates.

We mention that when  $n_0, p_0 \in L_+^\infty$ , the existence and uniqueness are well known ([2–5] (and references therein)).

In the next section, we will give some a priori estimates. In Section 3 we give the main result and its proof.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

The global existence of weak solutions has been proved in [1] and the following estimates hold,

$$n \geq 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad \|n\|_V \leq C, \quad (2.1)$$

$$p \geq 0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad \|p\|_V \leq C, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_V$  denotes the norm in  $V = L^B(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$  and

$$\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega))} \leq C \quad (2.3)$$

for any  $T > 0$ , where  $C > 0$  is a constant depending only on the known data.

Using the standard techniques in [6, (1.1), (1.4), (2.1), and (2.2)], Lemma 2.1 can be easily proved and we omit the proof here.

LEMMA 2.1.

$$\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))} \leq C. \quad (2.4)$$

LEMMA 2.2.

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega (n + p)^2 |\nabla \psi|^2 dx dt \leq C. \quad (2.5)$$

*Proof.* We approximate  $(n_0, p_0)$  by  $(n_{0\epsilon}, p_{0\epsilon}) \in L_+^\infty(\Omega) \times L_+^\infty(\Omega)$  with

$$\|n_{0\epsilon} - n_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|p_{0\epsilon} - p_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Let  $(\psi_\epsilon, n_\epsilon, p_\epsilon)$  denote the solution of (1.1)–(1.7) with  $(n_0, p_0)$  replaced by  $(n_{0\epsilon}, p_{0\epsilon})$ . In [1], da Veiga proved

$$\|\psi_\epsilon - \psi\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega))} \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\|n_\epsilon - n\|_V \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\|p_\epsilon - p\|_V \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\psi_\epsilon \rightarrow \psi, \quad n_\epsilon \rightarrow n, \quad p_\epsilon \rightarrow p, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times (0, T), \quad (2.10)$$

$$\nabla \psi_\epsilon \rightarrow \nabla \psi, \quad \nabla n_\epsilon \rightarrow \nabla n, \quad \nabla p_\epsilon \rightarrow \nabla p, \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \times (0, T), \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\|n_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))} + \|p_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))} < C(\epsilon). \quad (2.12)$$

Now we consider the problem

$$-\Delta \psi_\epsilon = p_\epsilon - n_\epsilon + f \quad (2.13a)$$

$$\psi_\epsilon|_{\Gamma_D} = \psi_D, \quad \frac{\partial \psi_\epsilon}{\partial \eta} \Big|_{\Gamma_N} = 0. \quad (2.13b)$$

Multiplying (2.13a) by  $[(n_\epsilon + p_\epsilon)^2 - (n_D + p_D)^2]\psi_\epsilon$  and integrating over  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ , we integrate by parts and use (2.13b) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_\Omega (n_\epsilon + p_\epsilon)^2 |\psi_\epsilon|^2 dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega (n_D + p_D)^2 (\nabla \psi_\epsilon)^2 \\ & \quad - 2 \int_0^t \int_\Omega \psi_\epsilon [(n_\epsilon + p_\epsilon) \nabla (n_\epsilon + p_\epsilon) \\ & \quad - (n_D + p_D) \nabla (n_D + p_D)] \nabla \psi_\epsilon dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \int_\Omega (p_\epsilon - n_\epsilon + f) [(n_\epsilon + p_\epsilon)^2 - (n_D + p_D)^2] \psi_\epsilon dx dt \\ &\leq \|n_D + p_D\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \int_0^T \int_\Omega (\nabla \psi_\epsilon)^2 dx dt \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_\Omega (n_\epsilon + p_\epsilon)^2 |\nabla \psi_\epsilon|^2 dx dt \\ & \quad + 4 \|\psi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))}^2 \int_0^T \int_\Omega (|\nabla n_\epsilon|^2 + |\nabla p_\epsilon|^2) dx dt \\ & \quad + 2 \|n_D + p_D\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\psi_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))} \left( \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla \psi_\epsilon|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_0^T \int_\Omega |\nabla (n_D + p_D)|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \int_\Omega (p_\epsilon - n_\epsilon + f) [(n_\epsilon + p_\epsilon)^2 - (n_D + p_D)^2] \psi_\epsilon dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using the preceding inequality and the parabolic Sobolev inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^{2(m+2)/m}(\Omega \times (0, T))} \leq C \|u\|_V, \quad (2.14)$$

for  $m \geq 2$ , (2.7)–(2.12), and Fatou's lemma, we immediately obtain (2.5). This completes the proof. ■

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $V_0^*$  denote the dual space of  $V_0 = L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega \cup \Gamma_N))$ . Then we have*

$$\|n_t\|_{V_0^*} \leq C, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\|p_t\|_{V_0^*} \leq C. \quad (2.16)$$

*Proof.* We only prove (2.15). The proof of (2.16) is completely the same as that for (2.15). For  $\phi \in V$ , we see that by (2.1), (2.5), and (2.14),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^T \int_\Omega n_t \cdot \phi \right| &= \left| - \int_0^T \int_\Omega (\nabla n - n \nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega R(n, p) \phi \, dx \, dt \right| \\ &\leq (\|\nabla n\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))} + \|n \nabla \psi\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))}) \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))} \\ &\quad + r_1 \int_0^T \int_\Omega (1 + np) |\phi| \, dx \, dt \\ &\leq C \|\phi\|_{V_0}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. ■

### 3. UNIQUENESS

Now we are in a position to prove the uniqueness of the weak solutions in the case of  $m = 3$ .

THEOREM 3.1. *There exist at most one weak solutions to (1.1)–(1.7).*

*Proof.* Let  $(\psi_1, n_1, p_1)$  and  $(\psi_2, n_2, p_2)$  be two weak solutions to (1.1)–(1.7). Then  $(\psi_1 - \psi_2, n_1 - n_2, p_1 - p_2)$  satisfies

$$-\Delta(\psi_1 - \psi_2) = (p_1 - p_2) - (n_1 - n_2), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (n_1 - n_2)_t - \nabla \cdot (\nabla(n_1 - n_2) - (n_1 - n_2) \nabla \psi_1) \\ = -\nabla \cdot (n_2 \nabla(\psi_1 - \psi_2)) + R(n_1, p_1) - R(n_2, p_2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (p_1 - p_2)_t - \nabla \cdot (\nabla(p_1 - p_2) - (p_1 - p_2) \nabla \psi_1) \\ = -\nabla \cdot (p_2 \nabla(\psi_1 - \psi_2)) + R(n_1, p_1) - R(n_2, p_2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$(\psi_1 - \psi_2, n_1 - n_2, p_1 - p_2)|_{\Gamma_D} = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$\left( \frac{\partial(\psi_1 - \psi_2)}{\partial \eta}, \frac{\partial(n_1 - n_2)}{\partial \eta}, \frac{\partial(p_1 - p_2)}{\partial \eta} \right) \Big|_{\Gamma_N} = (0, 0, 0), \quad (3.4)$$

$$(n_1 - n_2, p_1 - p_2)|_{t=0} = (0, 0). \quad (3.5)$$

Multiplying (3.2) by  $n_1 - n_2 \in V_0$  and integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (n_1 - n_2)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(n_1 - n_2)|^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} (n_1 - n_2) \nabla \psi_1 \cdot \nabla(n_1 - n_2) dx \\
 &+ \int_{\Omega} n_2 \nabla(\psi_1 - \psi_2) \cdot \nabla(n_1 - n_2) dx \\
 &+ \int_{\Omega} (R(n_1, p_1) - R(n_2, p_2))(n_1 - n_2) =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

$I_1$ ,  $I_2$ , and  $I_3$  can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|p_1 - n_1 + f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|n_1 - n_2\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{2} \|p_1 - n_1 + f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|n_1 - n_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1/2} \|\nabla(n_1 - n_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{3/2}, \tag{3.7} \\
 I_2 &\leq \|n_2 \nabla(\psi_1 - \psi_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla(n_1 - n_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the term  $\|n_2 \nabla(\psi_1 - \psi_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} n_2^2 |\nabla(\psi_1 - \psi_2)|^2 dx \\
 &= -2 \int_{\Omega} (\psi_1 - \psi_2) n_2 \nabla n_2 \cdot \nabla(\psi_1 - \psi_2) dx \\
 &+ \int_{\Omega} [(p_1 - p_2)(n_1 - n_2)] n_2^2 (\psi_1 - \psi_2) dx \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} n_2^2 |\nabla(\psi_1 - \psi_2)|^2 dx + 2 \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \|\nabla n_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\
 &+ \|n_2\|_{L^4(\Omega)}^2 \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} (\|p_1 - p_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|n_1 - n_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}),
 \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\int_{\Omega} n_2^2 |\nabla(\psi_1 - \psi_2)|^2 dx \leq C \|n_2^2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 (\|n_1 - n_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|p_1 - p_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2).$$

Thus we have

$$I_2 \leq C \|n_2\|_{H^1(\Omega)} (\|n_1 - n_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|p_1 - p_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}) \|\nabla(n_1 - n_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (3.8)$$

The term  $I_3$  can be estimated using the same argument as in [1], so we get

$$I_3 \leq C \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|(n_i, p_i)\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^{3(\beta-1)/2} \right) (\|n_1 - n_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1/2} \|\nabla(n_1 - n_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{3/2} + \|p_1 - p_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{1/2} \|\nabla(p_1 - p_2)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{3/2}). \quad (3.9)$$

Inserting (3.7)–(3.9) into (3.6) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (n_1 - n_2)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(n_1 - n_2)|^2 dx \\ & \leq C(\delta, t) \int_{\Omega} [(n_1 - n_2)^2 + (p_1 - p_2)^2] dx + \delta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(p_1 - p_2)|^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

for any positive constant  $\delta$  with  $\int_0^T C(\delta, t) dt < +\infty$ . Multiplying (3.3) by  $p_1 - p_2 \in V_0$ , integrating by parts, and following the same procedure as for (3.6)–(3.9), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (p_1 - p_2)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(p_1 - p_2)|^2 dx \\ & \leq C(\delta, t) \int_{\Omega} [(n_1 - n_2)^2 + (p_1 - p_2)^2] dx + \delta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(n_1 - n_2)|^2 dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

for any positive constant  $\delta$  with  $\int_0^T C(\delta, t) dt < +\infty$ .

Choosing  $\delta < 1$  in (3.10) and (3.11), then summing (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} [(n_1 - n_2)^2 + (p_1 - p_2)^2] dx \\ & \leq C(t) \int_{\Omega} [(n_1 - n_2)^2 + (p_1 - p_2)^2] dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

with  $\int_0^T C(t) dt < +\infty$ . Applying Gronwall's inequality, we obtain  $(n_1, p_1) = (n_2, p_2)$  and thus  $\psi_1 = \psi_2$  from (3.1). This proves our uniqueness theorem. ■

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is indebted to Professor Jiang Song, at IAPCM, who helped him review this paper for correct English grammar and style, as was kindly suggested by Professor A. Friedman. The author is also indebted to the referee for mentioning ref. 5.

## REFERENCES

1. H. B. da Veiga, On the semiconductor drift diffusion equations, *Differential Integral Equation* **9** (1996), 729–744.
2. P. Markowich, C. Ringhofer, and C. Schmeiser, “Semiconductor Equations,” Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 1990.
3. H. Gajewski and K. Gröger, On the basic equations for carrier transport in semiconductors, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **113** (1986), 12–35.
4. W. Fang and K. Ito, Global solution of time-dependent drift-diffusion semiconductor equations, *J. Differential Equations* **123** (1995), 523–566.
5. H. B. da Veiga, Remarks on the flow of holes and electrons in crystalline semiconductors, in “Navier–Stokes Equation and Related Problems” (A. Sequeira, Ed.), pp. 291–306, Plenum, New York, 1995.
6. G. Stampacchia, Le probleme Dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second order a coefficients discontinues, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **15** (1965), 189–258.